
No.



9400008

THE OVERED SHATES OF VALUE BION

TO AU TO VHOM THESE ERESEMS SHAME COME: Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center, The Ohio State University Research Houndation COUNCERS, THERE HAS BEEN PRESENTED TO THE

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF CERTIFICATE OF YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE RIGHT TO EXPOTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, OR TING IT, OR EXPORTING IT, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT HEREFROM. TO THE EXTENT PROVIDED BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT.

TED STATES SEED OF THIS VARIETY (1) SHALL BE SOLD BY VARIETY NAME ONLY AS CERTIFIED SEED AND (2) SHALL CONFORM TO THE NUMBER OF GENERATIONS SP.

HE OWNER OF THE RIGHTS. (84 STAT. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

SOYBEAN

'Sandusky'

In Lestimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Variety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, V.C.

this 31st day of October in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and ninety-five.

Marche A. Samon

AND A SAME AND A SAME AS A SAME

Commissioner

Plant Variety Protection Office Agricultural Marketing Service Many fliscomments of Agriculture

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Agriculture, Clearance Office, OIRM, Room 404-W, Washington, D.C. 20250; and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (OMB #0581-0055), Washington, 20250.

FORM APPROVED: OMB 0581-0055, Expires 1/31/91

U.S. DEPARTM AGRICULTURAI	ENT OF AGRICULTURE L MARKETING SERVICE		Application is required in order to
APPLICATION FOR PLANT VA	ARIETY PROTECTIO	N CERTIFICATE	determine it a plant variety protection certificate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421) information is held confidential unti-certificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426).
1. NAME OF APPLICANT(S) (as it is to appear on the Certificate)		2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION OR	3. VARIETY NAME
Ohio Agricultural Research and De	velopment Center,	EXPERIMENTAL NO.	1
The Ohio State University Research	4 FOUNDATION	HS88-4908	Sandusky
4. ADDRESS (street and no. or R.F.D. no., city, state, and ZIP)		5. PHONE (Include area code)	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
			PVPO NUMBER
1680 Madison Ave.		·	9/10000
Wooster OH 44691			9400008
			F Date
			1 Dct. 12, 1993
6. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME	7. FAMILY NAME (Bota	•	Time
Glycine max	Fabaceae (Le	eguminosae)	G 4:00 □AM. ×P.M.
8. CROP KIND NAME (Common Name)	1 9.	DATE OF DETERMINATION	F Filing and Examination Fee:
Soybean	Ŧ	eb. 5, 1993	E 184040
	į.		S Date
10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON," GIVE FORM O		artnership, association, etc.)	e Oct, 12, 1993
State Agricultural Experiment Stat	ion		C Certificate Fee:
11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORATION	- 12. (DATE OF INCORPORATION	7 1 126/25
		4.1	E A O 1005
13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPRESENTATIVE(S), IF	AND TO SECUE IN THE ADDRESS		5 Aug. 8, 1995
S. K. St. Martin	ANT, TO SERVE IN THIS APPLICA	TION AND RECEIVE ALL PAPERS	, 🗸
Dept. of Agronomy			
Ohio State University		•	
Kottman Hall, 2021 Coffey Rd., Col	umbus OH 43210		((11) 000 0100
14. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTACHMENT SUBMIT		PHONE (include area co	oder: (614) 292-8499
a. X Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Var			
b. X Exhibit B, Novelty Statement.			
c. X Exhibit C, Objective Description of Variety.			
d. Exhibit D, Additional Description of Variety.		•	
e X Exhibit E, Statement of the Basis of Applicant's (Ownershin	•	
Seed Sample (2,500 viable untreated seeds). Da		Variety Protection Office	•
g. X Filing and Examination Fee (\$2.46) made paya	ble to "Treasurer of the United S	States."	*
15. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS VARIET			See section 83(a) of the Plant Variety
Protection Act.) X YES (# "YES." answer items 16 a		"NO," skip to item 18 below)	,
16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS VARIETY BE LIM NUMBER OF GENERATIONS?		TO ITEM 16, WHICH CLASSES OF PROD	DUCTION BEYOND BREEDER SEED?
	i —		
YES X NO	¦ □ FC	DUNDATION REGIS	STERED CERTIFIED
18. DID THE APPLICANT(S) PREVIOUSLY FILE FOR PROTECTION OF	THE VARIETY IN THE U.S.?		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
YES (II "YES," through Plant Variety Projection A			
X NO	Act Patent Act. Give d	late:)	
NO			
19. HAS THE VARIETY BEEN RELEASED, USED, OFFERED FOR SA	LE, OR MARKETED IN THE U.S. OF	OTHER COUNTRIES?	
YES (II "YES," give names of countries and dates)			
X NO			
			•
20. The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sample of t	asic seeds of this variety wi	Il be furnished with the applicat	tion and will be replenished upon
request in accordance with such regulations as may	be applicable.		
The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the owner(s)	of this sexually reproduced	novel plant variety, and belie	ve(s) that the variety is distinct,
uniform, and stable as required in section 41, and is			e riant variety Protection Act.
Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false representat	ion nerein can jeopardize pro	ntection and result in penalties.	
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT JOWNERS !!	CAPACITY OF	RTITLE	DATE
STITUS SH (Illaldd)			9/10/93
/ NUUVU / / N / YUVUN VUVI		ate Professor (breed	er) '/"/ '/
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT [Owner(s)]	CAPACITY OF	RTITLE	DATE
1-11(4) 11 11 1		A .	9/11/97 1
- Kldakl Klata	HSSOCI	ATE EXCURVE OIRECTOR	2 1116/15 /
FORM CSSD-470 (5-89) Edition of FORM LS-470, 3-86, is obsolete			

'Sandusky' Exhibit A - Origin and Breeding History

Sandusky was derived from the cross 'Conrad' x 'Hayes', which was made at Columbus, OH, in 1985. The $\rm F_1$ plant was grown at Mayaguez, Puerto Rico during winter 1985-86. In summer 1986, $\rm F_2$ plants were produced at Columbus and harvested individually. $\rm F_2$ -derived lines were evaluated in 1.5-m long plots in Ohio in 1987. One such line, HS87-5028, was retained for generation advance and further testing.

Six F_4 plants from HS87-5028 were produced in the greenhouse at Columbus in winter 1987-88. One of the resulting F_4 -derived lines, designated HS88-4908, was planted for seed increase in 1988 at Columbus. Meanwhile, the progenitor line HS87-5028 was tested for yield and other agronomic traits at 3 Ohio locations in 1988 and again in 1989.

Line HS88-4908 was tested at three Ohio locations in 1989. It was tested regionally in Uniform Preliminary Test IIA in 1990 and in Uniform Test III in 1991. It was also entered in the Ohio Advanced Line Test and other Ohio tests in 1990-93. On February 5, 1993, the release of HS88-4908 was approved by the Crop Variety Release and Distribution Committee of the Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center (OARDC). This action was subsequently approved by the Director of OARDC. The name 'Sandusky' was assigned.

Purification and multiplication of Sandusky were initiated by selection of typical individual plants in 1989. Progeny rows from these plants were produced at Columbus in 1990; rows were selected for uniformity and trueness to type. Each row was tested to make certain that it was uniform for response to phytophthora rot. The uniform rows were planted at South Charleston, OH, in 1991. This increase was inspected and rogued at flowering and several times near maturity. The increase was harvested in bulk and planted at Croton, OH, in 1992 to provide breeder seed. Field inspection was carried out at both flowering and maturity in 1992, and the breeder seed was examined by a registered seed analyst for purity. These steps assured that the variety conforms to acceptable standards of uniformity.

Stability of Sandusky is indicated by consistent maturity, height, yield, seed size, pigment characteristics, disease reaction, and chemical composition relative to other cultivars in regional and Ohio tests.

'Sandusky' Exhibit B - Statement of Novelty

Sandusky has been compared extensively to other public cultivars and, among such cultivars, is unique in its combination of early maturity, lodging resistance, and resistance to phytophthora rot (\underline{Rpslk} gene).

There are relatively few private cultivars of maturity group II that carry the Rpslk gene. Sandusky can be distinguished from

these by its combination of gray pubescence and buff hilum.

The tan pods of Sandusky distinguish it from its sister line 'Vertex', which has brown pods. Also, Sandusky matures 4 days later than Vertex.

PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY SOYBEAN (Glycine max L.)

SUYBE	AN (Glycine max L.)	•	
NAME OF APPLICANT(S)		VARIETY NAME	
	1		
		Sandusky	
1680 Madison Ave.	<i>16)</i>		
Wooster OH 44691			• **
		9400008	
in your answer is fewer than the number of boxes provided,	place a zero in the first box	when number is 9 or less (e.g., 0	9).
2 L W			
1 = Spherical (L/W, L/T, and T/W ratios = < 1.2) 3 = Elongate (L/T ratio > 1.2; T/W = < 1.2)	Figure 1 and Devèlopment The Ohio State University Sinet and No., or R.F.O. No., City, State, and Zip Code Sinet and State an		
2. SEED COAT COLOR: (Mature Seed)	ere a Nacional de la companya de la		
1 = Yellow 2 = Green 3 = Brown	4 = Black 5 = Othe	r (Specify)	
3. SEED COAT LUSTER: (Mature Hand Shelled Seed)			
	oy"; 'Gasoy 17')	and the state of t	
4. SEED SIZE: (Mature Seed)			4 A
1 7 Grams per 100 seeds			
5. HILUM COLOR: (Mature Seed)			
1 = Buff 2 = Yellow 3 = Brown	4 = Gray 5 = Imperfect E	lack 5 = Black 7 = Other (Spec	ify)
6. COTYLEDON COLOR: (Mature Seed)			
1 = Yellow 2 = Green			
7. SEED PROTEIN PEROXIDASE ACTIVITY:			
1 = Low 2 = High			
8. SEED PROTEIN ELECTROPHORETIC BAND:			
		Owen a service of the	-
9. HYPOCOTYL COLOR:			
3 = Light Purple below cotyledons ('Beeson'; 'Pickett 71')		('Woodworth'; 'Tracy')	
0. LEAFLET SHAPE:	1.2		
3 1 = Lanceolate 2 = Oval 3 = Ovate		The state of the s	

FORM LMGS-470-57 (6-83) (Edition of 2-82 is obsolete.)

· .			400008
, ,	1. LEA	AFLET SIZE:	}
	2	1 = Small ('Amsoy 71'; 'A5312') 2 = Medium ('Corsoy 79'; 'Gasoy 17') 3 = Large ('Crawford'; 'Tracy')	
-			X.
1.	2. LEAF		
	1	1 = Light Green ('Weber'; 'York') 2 = Medium Green ('Corsoy 79'; 'Braxton') 3 = Dark Green ('Gnome'; 'Tracy')	
<u>★ 1</u> :	3. FLOV	DWER COLOR:	
	2	1 = White 2 = Purple 3 = White with purple throat	
* 14	. POD (COLOR:	
	1	1 = Tan 2 = Brown 3 = Black	
★ 15	L PLAN	NT PUBESCENCE COLOR:	e de la companya de La companya de la co
	1	1 = Gray 2 = Brown (Tawny)	* 1 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
16	PI AN'	NT TYPES:	
1481.0		 In the property of the property o	en e
	1	1 = Slander ('Essex'; 'Amsoy 71') 2 = Intermediate ('Amcor'; 'Braxton') 3 = Bushy ('Gnome'; 'Govan')	
★ 17	PLAN	NT HABIT:	
·	3	1 = Determinate ('Gnome'; 'Braxton') 2 = Semi-Determinate ('Will') :	
k 18.	MATU	URITY GROUP:	
	5	1 = 000 2 = 00 3 = 0 4 = 1 5 = II 6 = III 7 = IV 8 = V 9 = VI 10 = VII 11 = VIII 12 = IX 13 = X	
19.	DISEA	ASE REACTION: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susceptible; 2 = Resistant)	
14 20 (14) 1 4 (14)	BACT	CTERIAL DISEASES:	
*	0	Bacterial Pustule (Xanthomonas phaseoli var. sojensis)	
*	2	Bacterial Blight (Pseudomonas glycinea)	en e z
*	0	Wildfire (Pseudomonas tabaci)	
	FUNGA	GAL DISEASES:	
*.	0	Brown Spot (Septoria glycines)	·
		Frogeye Leaf Spot (Cercospora sojina)	
*	0	Race 1 0 Race 2 0 Race 3 0 Race 4 0 Race 5 Other Specify	
,	0	Target Spot (Corynespora cassiicola)	
	0	Downy Mildew (Peronospora trifoliorum var. manshurica)	
	0	Powdery Mildew (Microsphaera diffusa)	
*	Ħ	Brown Stem Rot (Cephalosporium gregatum)	
•		Stem Canker (Diaporthe phaseolorum var. caulivora)	

19.	DISEA	SE REACTION:	: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susceptible; 2 =	Resistant) (Continued)	940008
	FUN	GAL DISEASES	S: (Continued)		
*	2	Pod and Stem	Blight (Diaporthe phaseolorum var; sojae)	randring of American (1997) in the second of	(1986) and the state of the sta
		Purple Seed S	tain (Cercospora kikuchii)		
	0	Rhizoctonia F	Root Rot (Rhizoctonia solani)		
		Phytophthora	Rot (Phytophthora megasperma var. sojae)		
*	2	Race 1	2 Race 2 2 Race 3 2	Race 4	ace 5 2 Race 6 2 Race,7
٠	2	Race 8	2 Race 9 2 Other (Specify)	10, 11, 13, 14,	15, 17-24
	VIRA	AL DISEASES:		the transfer of the second to the	a malay katangan katan
	0	8ud Blight (To	obacco Ringspot Virus)		and the english to the the state of the last of the state
•	0		c (Bean Yellow Mosaic Virus)		and the second of the second o
*			ic (Cowpea Chlorotic Virus)	*1	
		Pod Mottle (B	ean Pod Mottle Virus)		
*		Seed Mottle (S	Soybean Mosaic Virus)		
	NEM	ATODE DISEA	SES:		
		Soybean Cyst	Nematode (Heterodera glycines)		•
*	0	Race 1	0 Race 2 0 Race 3	Race 4 O	ther (Specify)
	0	Lance Nemato	ode (Hopiolaimus Colombus)		
·*	0	Southern Roo	t Knot Nematode (Meloidogyne incognita)		
*	0	Northern Roo	t Knot Nematode (Meloidogyne Hapla)		
	0	Peanut Root K	Cnot Nematode (Meloidogyne arenaria)		
	0	Reniform Nen	natode (Rotylenchulus reniformis)		
	\sqcap	OTHER DISE	ASE NOT ON FORM (Specify):		
. 20. ★	PHYSIC 1		SPONSES: {Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susce	ptible; 2 = Resistant)	
			on Calcareous Soil		
) Short hypocotyl at 25°C		
21.		REACTION:	(Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susceptible; 2 = I	Resistant)	
		Mexican Bean	Beetle (Epilachna varivestis)		
	2	Potato Leaf H	opper (Empoasca fabae)		
		Other (Specify	·)		
22.	INDICA	TE WHICH VA	RIETY MOST CLOSELY RESEMBLES TH	AT SUBMITTED.	
	CHAF	RACTER	NAME OF VARIETY	CHARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY
	Plant Sh	аре		Seed Coat Luster	
	Leaf Sha	ре		Seed Size	
	Leaf Col			Seed Shape	
	Leaf Size	e 		Seedling Pigmenta	
. 12	15.4		•	3	t • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

23.	GIVE DATA	FOR SUB	MITTED AND SIM	AR STANDARD VARIETY:	Paired Comparison Data

9400008

VARIETY	DAYS LO	PLANT LODGING		LEAFLET SIZE		SEED CONTENT		SEED SIZE G/100	NO. SEEDS/
		SCORE		CM Width	CM Length	% Protein	% Oil	SEEDS	POD
Sandusky Submitted	114	1.3	79			37.8	22.4	16.9	
Burlison Name of Similar Variety	115	1.1	66			41.9	20.2	17.0	

PUBLICATIONS USEFUL AS REFERENCE AIDS FOR COMPLETING THIS FORM:

- 1. Caldwell, B.E., ed. 1973. Soybeans: Improvement, Production, and Uses. Amer. Soc. Agron. Monograph No. 16.
- 2. Buttery, B.R. and R.I. Buzzell. 1968. Peroxidase activity in seeds of soybean varieties. Crop Sci., 8: 722-725.
- 3. Hymowitz, T. 1973. Electrophoretic analysis of SBTI-A₂ in the USDA soybean germplasm collection. Crop Sci., 13: 420-421.
- 4. Payne, R.C. and L.F. Morris. 1976. Differentiation of soybean cultivars by seedling pigmentation patterns. J. Seed Technol. 1: 1-19.



'Sandusky' Exhibit E - Basis of Applicant's Ownership

'Sandusky' is owned by the Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center, The Ohio State University (OARDC-OSU). The development of Sandusky was carried out by employees of OARDC-OSU as part of their assigned duties. In cases where testing and seed increase were carried out by collaborating institutions, memoranda of understanding were in force which clearly indicated that ownership of the variety resided with OARDC-OSU.

8